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**Abstract.** The abstract should summarize the contents of the paper in short terms, i.e. 150-250 words. This document is intended to serve as a template for structuring your academic paper. It is designed to guide you through the process of organizing your thoughts, findings, and research into a coherent format. The abstract, a crucial component, should offer a concise summary of your paper's key points, including the purpose, methodology, results, and conclusions of your study. Use this template to ensure your work is presented in a clear, logical, and professional manner, facilitating better understanding and engagement from your intended audience. Please adapt the sections as necessary to fit the specific requirements of your research and field of study.

**Keywords:** First Keyword, Second Keyword, Third Keyword.

1. Introduction

The introduction section of a research paper should provide the background and context for the study, clearly defining the research problem or question being addressed. It should explain the significance and motivation for the research, demonstrating why the problem is important and relevant to the field.

Add a paragraph here to highlight the major contributions of your paper. This should include a summary of the unique aspects of your research, such as new methodologies, models, or findings that address gaps in existing literature. Clearly explain how your work advances the current state of the field and why it is significant. You should also emphasize the practical or theoretical implications of your contributions and how they solve the identified research problem.

The final paragraph of introduction should outline the structure of your paper. Mention the main sections such as the literature review, methodology, results, and conclusion. This should provide a clear roadmap for the reader, explaining what each section will cover and how the research is presented in a logical flow.

1. Literature Review

This section should provide a concise summary of relevant research in the field, demonstrating your understanding of the existing body of knowledge. It should identify key studies, theories, and findings related to your topic, highlighting any gaps, inconsistencies, or unresolved questions. Additionally, ensure that the sources are recent and relevant, and clearly connect them to your research objectives.

You must cite almost all references here in the literature review section. For citations of references, we prefer the use of square brackets and consecutive numbers. Citations using labels or the author/year convention are also acceptable. The following bibliography provides a sample reference list with entries for journal articles [1], an LNCS chapter [2], a book [3], proceedings without editors [4], as well as a URL [5].

1. Proposed Methodology

The Proposed Methodology section should provide a detailed explanation of the approach taken to solve the research problem. This section should be divided into several subsections for clarity.

* 1. Data Set

Provide details about the data set(s) used in your research. Include information such as the source, size, characteristics, and any relevant statistics. If you're using custom data, explain how it was collected and structured.

* 1. Preprocessing

Describe any preprocessing steps applied to the data before model training. This might include cleaning, normalization, feature extraction, or handling missing data. Clearly explain why these steps are necessary to prepare the data for analysis.

* 1. Models

Present the models or algorithms used in your research. Include mathematical descriptions, equations, and diagrams (if applicable) to explain how the models work. Discuss the rationale for selecting these models and any key assumptions or constraints. The mathematical equation shown below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| $$f\left(x\right)= x^{2} + y^{2}$$ |  |

Keep adding equations and number them according:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| $$f\left(x,y\right)= 2x^{2} + 3y^{2}$$ |  |

The model architecture of a CNN model is shown in Fig. 1.



**Fig. 1.** Architecture of a CNN model.

1. Experimental Results

The Experimental Results section is crucial for demonstrating the effectiveness of your proposed method. It should be structured into clear subsections to thoroughly present and analyze your findings:

* 1. Experimental Setup

Describe the environment in which experiments were conducted, including hardware (e.g., GPU, CPU specifications), software libraries (e.g., TensorFlow, PyTorch), and any tools used. Mention the dataset split, such as training, validation, and test sets, and detail the configuration of the experimental conditions.

* 1. Hyperparameter Tuning

Provide information on how hyperparameters were selected and optimized. Explain the range of values considered for each hyperparameter (e.g., learning rate, batch size) and the method used for tuning (e.g., GridSearch, Random Search). Discuss the impact of different hyperparameter values on model performance. Table 1 summarizes the range of parameters searched using GridSearch.

**Table 1.** Hyperparameter and their values.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Hyperparameter | Range |
| Learning Rate | 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, (or 1e-3, 1e-4, etc.) |
| Batch Size | 16, 32, 64, 128 |
| Number of Epochs | 10, 50, 100, 200 |
| Pool Size | 2x2 |
| Learning Rate Decay | 0.1, 0.01, 1e-5 |
| Early Stopping | True, False |

* 1. Results

Present the core results of your experiments, typically using tables, graphs, and figures. Highlight key performance metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, F1 score, or other domain-specific metrics. Compare these metrics across different models or configurations.

* 1. Ablation Studies

Conduct and describe ablation experiments to understand the contribution of different components of your model. Remove or alter parts of the model to observe the performance impact and justify the importance of those components in achieving the final results.

* 1. Comparative Analysis

Compare your results with previous works or baseline models. Show how your approach outperforms or improves upon existing methods. This section should clearly demonstrate the advantages of your method and discuss where it may fall short, if applicable. You must cite all the tables and figures. For example, Table 2 summarizes the results obtained for different models.

**Table 1.** Summary of results.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Model | Accuracy (%) | Precision | Recall |
| CNN | 86.20 | 86.20 | 77.20 |
| ResNet | 90.25 | 97.25 | 98.25 |
| RNN | 78.66 | 74.66 | 68.66 |
| CNN-SVM | 99.20 | 98.20 | 69.20 |
| CNN-RNN | 96.36 | 99.36 | 94.36 |

Please try to avoid rasterized images for line-art diagrams and schemas. Whenever possible, use vector graphics instead (see Fig. 2).

**Fig. 2.** A figure caption is always placed below the illustration. Short captions are centered, while long ones are justified. The macro button chooses the correct format automatically.

1. Conclusion

The Conclusion section should provide a concise summary of the key findings and contributions of the research. Summarize the main achievements and how your proposed method addressed the research problem. Discuss the significance of the results and their potential impact on the field. This section should not introduce new information but rather summarize the insights gained throughout the paper. Additionally, include description of the future work and possible directions for further research. This may include improvements to the current methodology, exploration of related problems, or scaling the research to larger datasets or different domains.
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